



**Politics of “Alterisation”: manufacturing and governing Otherness.**  
*Comparative perspectives from European and African contexts.*

9th and 10th of May 2022, Maison Française d’Oxford.



Supported by the Maison Française d’Oxford (MFO), the Oxford Research Centre in the Humanities (TORCH), and the OxPo programme (a partnership between the University of Oxford and *Sciences Po*), this research workshop explores the notion of alterisation, and how “Otherness” is produced by state institutions.

The workshop is co-organised by early career researchers from the University of Oxford and *Sciences Po*, and is funded by the 2022 Paris-Oxford Partnership (POP) grant and the MFO.

## Scientific description of the workshop

*A central aim of the workshop is to explore the notion of alterisation and how Otherness is produced by state institutions.*

Alterisation is understood here as a process of (re)definition of categories as well as group assignments and affiliations of populations considered or constituted as “Other” (Mudimbe, 1988). More specifically, this workshop examines the role played by public authorities and state institutions in the genealogy and amplification of alterisation processes both as sites of policy-making, and of elaboration and circulation of ideologies. In brief, this workshop aims at exploring the “politics of alterisation”.

To grasp contemporary forms of the politics of alterisation, this workshop adopts a broad conceptualisation of Otherness, drawing on comparative and interdisciplinary approaches. It seeks to understand how Otherness is manufactured by considering current research chiefly (but not exclusively) focused on African and European contexts, while combining insights from various subfields in political science (comparative political sociology, sociology of public administrations, sociology of international relations, political theory) and transdisciplinary fields (African studies, education studies, migration studies, postcolonial studies, urban studies). In particular the workshop hopes to bring together contributions that highlight ways in which the State and public action more broadly produce “categories of thought” which frame public intervention targeted at “Others” (Bourdieu, 2012).

State institutions are not, however, considered in isolation. Rather, this workshop is interested in exploring how state institutions are conceived as sites for the elaboration of “techniques of government” among others - primordial indeed, but not exclusive - generating processes of subjectivation which constitute the “Others”, while bearing cognitive, ideological, and material effects (Foucault, 2004; Gordon, 1991).

Through considering the multiplication of criteria of definition of “Others” (based on ethnicity, race, nationality, language, social class, gender, sexual orientation, etc) and their intersectionality (Crenshaw, 2017; Yval-Davis, 2011), this workshop explores both similarities and singularities (Bayart, 2008) which characterise processes of essentialisation and differentiation constituting of the politics of alterisation. It aims to share common conceptual, methodological and empirical questions associated with the study of the politics of alterisation. In bringing together research from African and European contexts, it seeks to highlight the contemporary political connections between the two continents - partially linked to postcolonial circulations, but not exclusively (Basch and al., 1994 ; Grégoire and Mazzocchi, 2013) – and to explore the global dimensions of these dynamics.

The workshop focuses on four aspects of the politics of alterisation:

- (1) The politics of rejection in the public welfare administration;
- (2) The politics of recognition of groups constituted as “Other”;
- (3) The politics of reporting on border policing and xenophobic violence;
- (4) Criminalisation and the social production of the Other.

## Programme

### **Day 1 - Monday 9th of May 2022**

#### **9.00-09.30 – Introduction to the workshop**

- Welcome: Pascal Marty (director of the Maison Française d'Oxford)
- Motivations for the research workshop: Jeanne Bouyat, Lucas Puygrenier, Natasha Robinson

#### **09.30-12.30 – Panel 1) Public welfare administration and the politics of rejection**

*The panel explores the ways in which public institutions participate in the production, amplification, and normalisation of the rejection of "Others".*

This panel examines the inner workings of supranational, national, or subnational institutions in charge of welfare services (i.e. education, healthcare, housing, social assistance). Considering these institutions complements analyses centred on the ways in which state policing or immigration control discriminate against "Others", and leads us to uncover the more subtle ways through which "Others" are rejected or excluded by state institutions. These include the erection of barriers to access public goods and services targeted at certain groups or their lesser quality, delayed or segregated allocation; the marginalisation of certain categories of staff; or the tacit endorsement of racist, sexist, or other discriminatory behaviours perpetrated by its employees.

First, we tackle debates associated with conceptualising the politics of rejection. Building on insights brought by theories on individual prejudice (Dovidio and al, 2010), systematic racism (Feagin, 2006), critical race theory (Delgado and Stefancic, 2017), and everyday racism (Essed, 1991) applied to the inner workings of public institutions, the panel will expand on discussions of "institutional racism" (Carmichael and Hamilton, 1967), "state racism" (Dhume and al, 2020), "institutional xenophobia" (Valluy, 2009), and "institutional discrimination" (McCrudden, 1982). We may explore how these terms are differently politicised in African and European contexts, and nuances between "institutional", "institutionalised" or "institutionalisation" processes.

Secondly, the panel deals with the methodological challenges which come with researching the politics of rejection. As public institutions may be approached through various avenues (legal or professional norms, material devices, agents' subjectivities, etc), different methods may be employed: socio historical analyses, analyses of political discourses, ethnographic observations, in-depth interviews with agents or publics, quantitative estimations of exclusions or differential treatment, amongst others. These methods may be combined to grasp the multiple forms of the politics of rejection. Methodological debates also concern the relevance and feasibility of researching these processes from within and outside institutions, and how to articulate these views to explore interactions between state officials, elected representatives, and pressure groups.

Presentations:

- 1) Jeanne Bouyat (University of Oxford – DPIR and Sciences Po – CERI)  
**"Researching institutional xenophobia at school in South Africa: conceptual and methodological considerations."**
- 2) Jacqueline Broadhead (University of Oxford – COMPAS)  
**"From twilight service to valuable safety net - Shifting UK local governance arrangements of No Recourse to Public Funds during the COVID-19 pandemic."**
- 3) Viviane Spitzhofer (Sciences Po, CEE)  
**"Issue Salience of Asylum Migration in Domestic contexts in European Member States – A momentum for tightening of dispersal policies as a mean of a deterrence and control."**
- 4) Maxime Maréchal (Université Paris Cité – CLILLAC-ARP and Institut Convergences Migrations)  
**"The other(s) language. Language interpreting and the politics of rejection in the French asylum procedure."**

Discussants: Fabrice Dume (Institut Convergences Migrations) ; Desmond King (University of Oxford – DPIR). Chair: Lucas Puygrenier.

12.30-13.30 – Lunch

### 13.30-16.30 - **Panel 2) Politics of recognition and alterisation**

*The panel explores the nexus between the language and politics of recognition and forms of Othering. These forms of Othering not only necessitate the former, but may also be reified by them. The politics of recognition will be explored both as practiced by the state institutions and its agents (policies of recognition), as well as by those who employ it to resist their own exclusion (the broader politics of recognition).*

Amid a context of continued tensions around migration and security issues, a paradoxical phenomenon may also be highlighted: the parallel use of a positive and proactive vocabulary centred on the application of rights (Weber, 2014) to put forward certain policies termed as “hosting”, “recognising” or more broadly “inclusive” towards the “Others”. This panel explores the theoretical and practical issues associated with these policies which rely on the initial institutional construction of a public as “excluded” and “Other” - given that their aim is precisely to “include”. It notably looks at the symbolic, legal, memorial, educational, and restitutive dimensions of these policies; while placing the focus on European and Southern African contexts. Going beyond the literature analysing these policies of recognition solely as instrumental to nationalist projects (Puar 2007, Bracke 2012, Farris 2017), this panel seeks to highlight the internal contradictions that characterise recognition policies, which recycle categories and tools that have been - and are still - used for exclusion (Artous 2005, Fraisse 2008).

Looking beyond state institutions, this panel then also explores the politics of recognition as practiced by a wide array of non-state actors, including associations, lawyers, or activists, who use this same language to make claims upon state institutions on behalf of, or as members of, excluded groups constituted as “Other”. More specifically, we ask whether alterisation can enable the constitution of a cause and be mobilised as a strategic support for the recognition of citizenship. We explore how different types of recognition may be associated with the use of essentialist or homogenising rhetoric, in particular when it comes to the protection of rights, the introduction of compensation mechanisms, or the rewriting of History. They may also be associated with differing perceptions of space and enmity. Finally, we examine how these various causes articulate, both in highlighting competition, ranking, or invisibilisation (Crenshaw 1989, Verloo 2006), but also forms of coalition, widening, and politicisation (McAdam and Rucht 1993, Béland and Cox 2016).

Presentations:

1) Amandine Le Bellec (Sciences Po – CEVIPOF)

**"Recognizing LGBTI identities, closing borders: gender- and sexuality-based asylum claims under the Common European Asylum System."**

2) Lena Reim (University of Oxford – ODID)

**"Mthwakazi activism in Zimbabwe – claiming the identity of ‘national other’ in response to exclusionary state practices."**

3) Natasha Robinson (University of Oxford – Department of Education)

**"Not Black Enough, Not White Enough: Reclaiming Coloured identity in the South African history classroom."**

Discussants: Maxime Bolt (University of Oxford – ODID) (tbc) and Loren Landau (University of Oxford – ODID). Chair: Viviane Spitzhofer.

16.30-17.00 – Coffee break

17.00-20.00 – **Screening & round table: Politics of reporting on border policing and xenophobic violence – Comparative views on Calais and Johannesburg.**

- 17.00-18.30: Screening of “Regarde ailleurs” (“Look away”)

*Documentary by Arthur Levivier, France (Activideo), 2018. Synopsis:*

Europe, rule of law and welcoming continent? Look Away reports on what is happening in many cities in Europe by taking the example of Calais. From the demolition of the “jungle” back in October 2016 to the situation one year later, Arthur shared the everyday life with men and women originally from Sudan, Afghanistan, Ethiopia, Eritrea, and Calais citizens.

Highlighting the difference between real life and official statements, this documentary shows the strategy put in place so the exiles would not want to stay there. With unconventional filming methods and the civic eye, the director managed to get footage of the State harassment, the media stage management, but also the mental strength and the sense of humor of the exiles.

- 18.30-20.00: Round table on reporting, activism and research on border policing and xenophobia violence in Calais and Johannesburg.

Participants: Arthur Levivier (director of “Regarde ailleurs”), Morgane Denieul (legal activist working for the Secours Populaire based in Calais), Madeleine Trépanier (PhD candidate at EHESS, based in Calais), Jan Bornman (journalist at Newframe based in Johannesburg). Chair: Jeanne Bouyat.

20.00-22.00 – Dinner

## Day 2 – Tuesday, 10th of May 2022

### 09.00-12.00 – Panel 3) Criminalisation and the social production of the Other

*The second panel explores both top-down processes of the criminalisation of designated “Others”, and the ambivalent engagement of reputed “Others” in the social production of “legality” and “illegality”.* The designation of the Other, the “boundary work” (Lamont, 2002) between a valued “us” and a repelling “them” – is a process which often involves criminalisation. Norbert Elias and John Scotson (1994) highlighted how the characterization of outsiders is intrinsic to the assertion of rules; the distinction between those believed to comply, and those suspected to violate them. Designations of an anomic Other and the reverse claim of a respectable Self are, in other words, two sides of the same coin. They entail a social promotion of norms which partly rely on, and partly exceed the perimeters of the law (Elias et Scotson, 1994 : 104). In the context of migration, Nicholas de Genova (2002) for instance shows how “illegality” is never a self-evident category despite a growing rhetoric positing the essential category of “illegal migrants”. The category of “illegal migrants” is in fact forged by states and by public policies which have their own history and context of existence (Spire, 2009), a process facilitated by the orientation of the dominant public discourse. In the case of the European Union, the role of the Schengen area in the conceptualisation of migration as a matter of security has repeatedly been highlighted (e.g. Huysmans, 2000).

“Others” – these individuals considered to be at odds with the dominant set of norms – are not, however, passive agents in this process of criminalisation. They engage in the grand divide between the “legal” and the “illegal”, and the normative division between the “good” and the “evil”. This is evident when criminalised groups formulate rival norms in the development of “subcultures” often emphasized by the sociology of deviance (Becker, 1997). Yet, most of the time the persons deemed to be criminogenic do not dispute the merit of the norms by which they are judged. Loïc Wacquant highlighted in his study of the American ghettos and the French “banlieues” that many of them claim their compliance with mainstream norms and finger their peers or neighbours as those responsible for social disorder, through a process of “lateral denigration” (Wacquant, 2006 : 248). These and other related processes will form part of the material explored through this panel.

#### Presentations:

- 1) Lucas Puygrenier (Sciences Po – CERI)

**“The new vagabonds? Mobility and labour in the making of “irregular migrants” at the borders of Europe.”**

- 2) Olivier de France (University of Oxford – DPIR and European Studies Centre)

**“A relational theory of alterisation”**

- 3) Corentin Cohen (University of Oxford – DPIR)

**“The feedback loop: producing the Nigerian organized crime: European police, global medias and Nigerian civil society”**

Discussants: Laurent Fourchard (Sciences Po – CERI) and Samuel Okyere (University of Bristol – SPAIS)  
Chair: Leonard Colomba-Petteng.

12.00-13.00 – Lunch

### 13.00-13.30 – Conclusion of the workshop

- Reflexive thoughts & way forward: Amandine Le Bellec, Leonard Colomba-Petteng
- Way forward: Lena Reim, Corentin Cohen

## References

- Artous Antoine, Hanne Didier. "Droit et émancipation". Cahiers de critique communiste, 2005.
- Basch Linda, Glick Schiller Nina, and Szanton Blanc Christina. Nations Unbound: Transnational Projects, Postcolonial Predicaments, and Deterritorialized Nation-States. Taylor & Francis. 1994.
- Bayart Jean-François. "Comparing from below - Comparer par le bas", Sociétés politiques comparées, 2008.
- Becker Howard. Outsiders: studies in the sociology of deviance. Free Press, 1997.
- Béland Daniel, Cox Robert Henry. "Ideas as coalition magnets. Coalition building, policy entrepreneurs, and power relations". Journal of European Public Policy, vol23, n°3, pp 428-445, 2016.
- Bourdieu Pierre. Sur l'État. Cours au Collège de France 1989-1992. Seuil, 2012.
- Bracke Sarah. "From 'saving women' to 'saving gays'. Rescue narratives and their dis/continuities", European Journal of Women Studies, vol 19 n°2, 2012.
- Carmichael Stokely, Hamilton Charles. Black power : the politics of liberation in America. Vintage Books, 1967.
- Crenshaw Kimberle. "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex. A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics". The University of Chicago Legal Forum, vol 140, pp. 139-167, 1989.
- Crenshaw Kimberle. On Intersectionality: essential writings. The New Press, 2017.
- De Genova Nicholas. "Migrant "illegality" and deportability in everyday life.", Annual review of anthropology, vol. 31 pp.419-447, 2002.
- Delgado Richard, Stefancic John. Critical race theory. New York University Press, 2017.
- Dume Fabrice, Dunezat Xavier, Gourdeau Camille, Rabaud Aude. Du racisme d'État en France. Le Bord de l'eau, 2020.
- Dovidio John, Hewstone Miles, Glick Peter, Esses Victoria. "Prejudice, stereotyping and discrimination: theoretical and empirical overview" in Dovidio John, Hewstone Miles, Glick Peter (eds). The SAGE handbook of prejudice, stereotyping and discrimination. SAGE, 2010. pp. 3-28
- Dumitru Speranta, "Qu'est-ce que le nationalisme méthodologique ? Essai de typologie", Raisons politiques, n° 54, pp 9-22, 2014.
- Elias Norbert et John Scotson. The established and the outsiders. Sage, 1994.
- Essed, Philomena. Understanding everyday racism: An interdisciplinary theory. Sage, 1991.
- Fassin Eric. "National identities and transnational intimacies. Sexual democracy and the politics of immigration in Europe", Public culture, vol. 22, n°3, 2010, p. 507-529.
- Farris Sara. In the name of women's rights. The rise of femonationalism. Duke University Press, Durham, 2017.
- Feagin Joe. Systemic racism: A theory of oppression. Routledge, 2013.
- Foucault Michel. Sécurité, territoire, population : cours au Collège de France, 1977-1978. Le Seuil, 2004.
- Fraisse Geneviève. "Sur l'usage de la contradiction". Raison présente, vol 166, n°1, pp 61-70, 2008.
- Gordon Colin. "Governmental rationality : an introduction" in Burchell Graham, Gordon Colin, and Miller Peter, (eds). The Foucault Effect. Studies in governmentality with two lectures by and an interview with Michel Foucault. The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1991.
- Gregoire Nicole, Mazzocchetti, Jacinthe, "Altérité 'africaine' et luttes collectives pour la reconnaissance en Belgique", Revue européenne des migrations internationales, vol. 29, no. 2, 2013.
- Huysmans Jef. "The European Union and the Securitization of Migration", Journal of Common Market Studies, vol.38 no 5. pp 751-777, 2000.
- Lamont Michèle. The dignity of working men. Harvard University Press, 2002.
- McAdam Doug, Rucht Dieter. "The Cross-National Diffusion of Movement Ideas", The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science, vol 528, n°1, pp56-74, 1993.

- McCrudden, Christopher. "Institutional discrimination". Oxford Judicial and Legal Studies, vol 2, n°303, 1982.
- Mudimbe Valentin. The Invention of Africa. Gnosis, Philosophy, and the Order of Knowledge. Indiana University Press, 1988.
- Puar Jasbir. Terrorist Assemblages. Homonationalism in Queer Times. Duke University Press, 2007.
- Said Edward. The world, the text, and the critic. Harvard University Press, 1983.
- Solomos John. "Institutionalised Racism: Policies of Marginalisation in Education and Training" in Cohen Philip, Bains Harwant (eds). Multi-Racist Britain. Youth Questions. Palgrave Macmillan, 1988.
- Spire Alexis. Accueillir ou reconduire. Enquête sur les guichets de l'immigration. Raisons d'agir, 2009.
- Valluy, Jérôme. Rejet des exilés: le grand retournement du droit d'asile. Editions du Croquant, 2009.
- Verloo Mieke. "Multiple inequalities, intersectionality, and the European Union", European Journal of Women's Studies, vol 13, n°3, pp211-228, 2006.
- Wacquant Loïc. Parias Urbains. La découverte, 2006.
- Weber, Leanne. Policing Non-Citizens. Routledge, 2014.
- Yval-Davis, Nira. The politics of belonging. Intersectional contestations. SAGE publications, 2011.

#### Organising committee:

Jeanne Bouyat (postdoctoral research fellow at the University of Oxford - DPIR and docteure associée at Sciences Po - CERI)  
 Corentin Cohen (postdoctoral research fellow at the University of Oxford - DPIR)  
 Léonard Colomba-Petteng (PhD candidate at Sciences Po - CERI)  
 Olivier De France (DPhil candidate at the University of Oxford - DPIR)  
 Eve Gianoncelli (postdoctoral research fellow and affiliated researcher at the MFO)  
 Amandine Le Bellec (PhD candidate at Sciences Po - CEVIPOF)  
 Lucas Puygrenier (PhD candidate at Sciences Po - CERI)  
 Lena Reim (DPhil candidate at the University of Oxford - ODID)  
 Natasha Robinson (postdoctoral research fellow at the University of Oxford - Department of Education)  
 Viviane Spitzhofer (PhD candidate at Sciences Po - CEE)

#### Scientific committee:

Jacqueline Broadhead (University of Oxford – COMPAS)  
 Fabrice Dhume (Institut Convergences Migrations and URMIS)  
 Laurent Fourchard (Sciences Po – CERI)  
 Desmond King (University of Oxford – DPIR)  
 Loren Landau (University of Oxford – ODID)